# National Capital Region (NCR) Trail Monitoring and Analysis Program Annual Report

**Report No. 2** 

December 2023

### Prepared by:

Krista Nordback, Sarah Bayldon, Mike Vann, and Sarah O'Brien UNC-Chapel Hill Highway Safety Research Center

With assistance from

Ralph Buehler, Steve Hankey, Md. Shazalal Tushar, Vahid Bakhshi Virginia Tech

Tammy Lee, Basem Elazzabi Portland State University

## In response to:

National Capital Region National Park Service

# Introduction

The National Park Service (NPS) needs to understand trail travel patterns and usage to better serve visitors. This includes those visiting on foot and by bicycle. Other local agencies around the Capital Region seek to quantify pedestrian and bicyclist travel in the region too. This project addresses these needs by providing a centralized database and dashboard to house and manage existing and future trail count data from various jurisdictions, where all can access it in a standard format. The project not only manages and maintains the data, but also encourages collaboration between agencies through quarterly meetings between NPS and local and regional agencies. In addition, the project produces quarterly and annual reports on travel patterns and monitoring system expansion, validation and maintenance and engages university partners in research activities including network volume estimation using emerging datasets.

This report is the second of our annual reports. It follows the template developed last year, and we continue to seek input from NPS and project partners on the type of summaries provided and the way the information is presented, to guide our future reports and efforts to automate reporting.

The report contains three sections a summary of work done during the past year by task, and an appendix with a

- financial summary
- data summary
- inventory of counters

The data summary lists counters and their volumes for 2018-2022 for four main trail corridors. Summary tables include an inventory of all counters in the region including name and status of whether they have been uploaded to BikePed Portal yet. Summary graphs of volume per month by trail corridor are provided for 2022. Maps of count sites by mode show 2022 traffic volumes relative to other sites on the trail corridors.

# Work Done in Year 2 (Aug. 2022 to Aug. 2023)

Below is a summary of work done by the five Task Areas.

- 1. Jurisdiction Coordination
- 2. Maintenance of Automated Counters and Siting New Counters
- 3. Enhancements to Shared Regional Database and Public Dashboard and Data Monitoring
- 4. Analysis and Reporting
- 5. Explore Big Data Procurement and Analysis

# Task Area 1. Jurisdiction Coordination

<u>Quarterly Meetings</u>. The team hosted four quarterly meetings (October 2022, January 2023, April 2023, July 2023). Notes and presentations from the meetings are posted in the "Quarterly Meetings" folder on the "NCA Trail Count Program" Teams account.

<u>Monthly Meetings</u>. The team held monthly meetings with the National Park Service and other special meetings as necessary. Notes from these meetings are now posted in the "Monthly Progress Meetings" folder on the "NCA Trail Count Program" Teams account.

In addition, HSRC staff responded to emails from NPS staff throughout the year and met with potential partners, including DDOT.

# Task Area 2. Maintenance of Automated Counters and Siting New Counters

The Virginia Tech (VT) Team hired a Master's student who joined in Fall 2022 and a Ph.D. student who joined in Spring 2023. From August 2022 – December 2022, the VT team used its trail camera to collect recordings of trail traffic at counter locations on the Rock Creek Trail, Mount Vernon Trail, C&O Trail, and Piney Branch Trail. Before pausing video recording due to cold weather, the VT team collected video recordings for 8 counter sites ranging from 30 to 40 hours per site.

During the winter, the VT team purchased a second camera to speed up the process of video recording. The VT team resumed video recording during March 2023 (when the weather was again warm) and collected video recordings at 27 counter sites through August 2023. The VT team also validated 14 sites from August 2022 – August 2023 (three sites had video recording from the studio class from fall 2021) by having undergraduate students manually count traffic using the recordings.

The criteria for validation were that a counter site must have an R2 value of at least 0.975 (manual vs. automated count) and the automated to manual count ratio was 0.70 to 1.30 for Eco-counters and 0.50 to 1.50 for TRAFx counters after censoring the bypass and unusual events. Furthermore, there must be at least 20 hours of data after eliminating the unusual and bypass events. Otherwise, the counter must have an automated to manual count ratio between 0.85 to 1.15. Five out of the 14 sites fully passed the validation (Table 2). For five sites, there were issues with pedestrian sensors, but the bicycle counter passed the validation. Four sites failed the validation for both the bicycle and pedestrian sensor. Reasons for a counter to fail were placement of the pedestrian sensor towards traffic (P-street), overcounting pedestrians (Lock 5), double counting bicyclists as both a bicyclist and pedestrian (Kenilworth, Deane Avenue), incorrect installation of bicycle loops (Waynewood Blvd), high bypass/unusual events (Shoreham Drive), and low bicyclist volume (Piney Branch).

Based on these findings, the VT team added a pre-validation procedure to identify counters with significant problems before attempting a full validation. Pre-validation includes counting at least 10 pedestrians and 10 bicyclists going in each direction across each detection zone. The counter is approved for full validation if it passes the pre-validation or sent for full diagnostics if it fails the pre-validation.

The VT team pre-validated 16 sites of which 10 passed and 6 failed. The reasons for counters failing pre-validation were: double counting pedestrians, bicyclists counted as both a bicyclist and pedestrian, missed counts of pedestrians on the far side of the trail, and the bicycle loop not detecting bicyclists. The failed sites were then diagnosed with the UNC team and the jurisdiction that manages the counter to try to resolve the issues.

The VT team hired and trained two new undergraduate students to replace students who graduated and left the project. The VT team also tested the interference on trail pavement for two proposed new counter sites during the winter and developed a summary document of the validation procedure for future reference.

#### **Ongoing Maintenance and Validation**

During this year, the team worked with Arlington, DDOT, and NPS staff to access, identify maintenance issues, and validate counters.

After the studio class, the VT team created a thorough validation procedure, similar to that used by NCHRP 797 and NCDOT, which involves collecting roughly 30 hours of video of the count site and counting it manually in the office. Data was collected using video cameras specific for this type of multi-day outdoor video traffic data collection. The VT team began collecting this video for the ART count sites and hired students to watch and code the video for validation. This process includes identification of trail user types to help pinpoint potential reasons for over or undercounts: trail users in groups or side by side, those who walk or ride outside of the counted area (bypass riders/walkers), non-typical trail users (scooters, skateboarders, inline skaters, etc.), and other characteristics or behaviors which may be associated with under or overcounts. This data can help with both creating bias adjustment factors or functions, and troubleshooting potential problems that could be corrected by changes to the counter location, physical setup, or software settings. Results of these validations will be summarized in future reports. See Table 2 for Validation details.

#### Site Selection

In January 2023, the university team and NPS staff visited several potential sites along the Rock Creek Trail under and south of the Roosevelt Bridge. In February, we measured the electrical interference at 5 potential installation sites near Roosevelt Bridge and at 3 more potential sites near the Roosevelt Bridge and the Lincoln Memorial Beach Volleyball Courts. We evaluated the potential for reflections off the Potomac River to interfere with the functioning of a Pyro infrared detector and found no evidence of interference. In discussion with NPS and in consultation with the equipment vendor, Eco-Counter, we recommended the site just north of the tunnel for the installation of a counter near the Roosevelt Bridge. In the spring, we provided measurements, specifications, and augmented photographs to support the proper installation of counters at the Roosevelt Bridge and Lincoln Memorial Beach Volleyball courts.

As a field trip before the April quarterly meeting, we toured the closed portions of Beach Drive with NPS on April 18 and identified four general locations for future counter installations along Beach Drive: north of Broad Branch Road, south of the Park Police Station, north of Picnic Grove 10, and south of the Maryland Line.

#### Table 1 Summary of Validation Results

Counter Name	Total Hours Validated	Mode	Average Hourly Count	MAPE (error) <sup>a</sup>	Adjustment Factor	Passed/ Failed <sup>b</sup>	Reason if validation failed	Status for resolving issue
		Bicycle	12	13.9%	0.93	Passed	-	-
River Terrace	35	Pedestrian	12	20.9%	0.97	Failed	Low R <sup>2</sup>	The UNC team is troubleshooting
		Bicycle	23	21.9%	0.96	Passed	-	-
Kenilworth	43	Pedestrian	7	61.6%	0.47	Failed	High error	The UNC team is troubleshooting
Deane		Bicycle	25	11.1%	1.03	Passed	-	-
Avenue	35	Pedestrian	6	58%	0.62	Failed	High error	Ready to validate again
Theodore	27	Bicycle	6	15%	0.97	Passed	-	-
Roosevelt Island Bridge	37	Pedestrian	10	22.5%	1.21	Passed	-	-
Waynewood Blvd	30	Bicycle	6	44.5%	0.82	Failed	Installation of bicycle loop	The UNC team fixed the counter. Currently, it is
Biva		Pedestrian	17	38.7%	0.78	Failed	bicycle loop	sent back to the manufacturer.
P- Street	33	Bicycle	17	23%	0.82	Failed	Counter facing	The pedestrian post was knocked down.
		Pedestrian	118	62%	0.51	Failed	traffic	The UNC team conducted diagnostics.
	20	Bicycle	21	21.9%	0.90	Passed	-	-
Pierce Mill	30	Pedestrian	51	10.7%	0.97	Passed	-	-
Shoreham		Bicycle	65	16.5%	0.93	Failed	High number of	
Drive	30	Pedestrian	101	11.2%	1.18	Failed	bypass events	
Piney Branch	36	Bicycle	2	35.5%	0.78	Failed	Low bicycle volume	Need to validate again
		Pedestrian	11	6.4%	1.01	Passed	-	-
Lock 5	27	Both	18	38.4%	0.63	Failed	High error	
Lock 8	40	Both	30	22.5%	1.32	Passed	-	-
Mulebridge Counter	30	Both	95	11.6%	0.98	Passed	-	-
Key Bridge	39	Bicycle	26	32.5%	0.80	Failed	High error	
East	29	Pedestrian	123	12.5%	1.14	Passed	-	-
Key Bridge West	38	Bicycle	18	40.8%	0.70	Failed	High error	

<sup>a</sup>MAPE: Mean Average Percent Error.

<sup>b</sup>Current criteria for validation are: (1) an R<sup>2</sup> of greater than 0.975 and (i) an R<sup>2</sup> value is also greater than 0.975 after censing unusual events (at least 20 data points), (ii) an automated to manual count ratio between 0.7 to 1.3 for Eco-counters and .5 to 1.5 for TRAFx counters or, (2) The error based on automated to manual count ratio should be between 0.85 to 1.15.

# Task Area 3. Creation of Shared Regional Database and Public Dashboard and Data Monitoring

Portland State University's Transportation Research and Education Center (PSU TREC) now has 90 count sites and their associated data in the BikePed Portal (<u>https://bikeped.trec.pdx.edu/</u>) database:

- 29 TRAFx counters on C&O and Capital Crescent Trails managed by NPS
- 20 DDOT Eco-Counters
- 2 Alexandria MVT Eco-Counters
- 39 Arlington County Eco-Counters

Of these sites, 16 have been retired, but are still kept in BikePed Portal as important historic records of past bicycle and pedestrian volumes.

While the BikePed Portal publicly provides basic online data (counter location, summary graphs and statistics), additional information and features are available to those with accounts. Such accounts were provided to partner agencies. There are four levels of authorization for partner accounts: organization owner, organization user, research, and public. The features available to partners in the BikePed Portal accounts include review and editing quality checks and improved data download functionality.

The TREC team also investigated what announcement categories should be added to the BikePed Portal database to flag data for known events or issues such as construction on the trail, extreme weather events, races, or other organized events, or known maintenance issues, such as battery failure or insect infestation. The team hosted meetings with data users from DDOT and Arlington to identify the categories. This feature has been deployed and is available to data owners and partners who have been given permission to use this feature.

BikePed Portal also includes automated checks for over 48 hours of zero volumes and for over a given number of same value non-zero volumes in a row. Data were also checked manually by HSRC staff. Comparing volumes shows similar AADT values from BikePed Portal and manual calculations. Data owners can accept or reject the automated checks in the BikePed Portal User Interface.

BikePed Portal provides basic information about the count sites including AADT values, graphs of average and AADT volumes over all years for which data are available, over any given year, over any given month, and over any given day of the year by mode and direction of travel.

This year BikePed Portal implemented a regional view to explore data. Previously, locations were selected based on the state. Now, the user can select locations based on either a predetermined geographical location (e.g., National Capital Region and partner organizations), or by state.

Current updates to the regional dashboard are available through the user login site where users can select data based on either the organizations or regions. Additional functionalities to the dashboard are under development, including a user interface to make it easier to add and edit metadata. This feature was originally developed by a capstone computer science class at Portland State University and is being improved and de-bugged by the project team.

Another tool under development will allow data owners to add a correction factor to the raw data based on work done to validate count data. The corrected data will be displayed on the user interface and the downloaded data will include both the corrected and raw data.

## Task Area 4. Analysis and Reporting

Annually and quarterly reports were provided.

The HSRC team has explored different ways of presenting the count data by count site, trail, and travel type (walk, bike, or both). The results of these analyses were shared informally in presentations to NPS and the larger group of partners. Annualized volume data for 2018-2022 are summarized in this document (see Table 4 and graphs and maps herein).

Note that as stated above, BikePed Portal can plot data on volumes and AADT for a given site using the "Explore" feature across years, by month for any given year, by day for any given month, and by hour for any given day of the year. Such graphs can supplement the summary graphs provided in this report if detailed information on the counter is desired. For those with BikePed Portal accounts, detailed data can also be readily downloaded for further analysis. For those without accounts, graphs and summary data are available.

### Task Area 5. Explore Big Data Procurement and Analysis

This year, the Virginia Tech PhD student explored emerging big data sources in a technical memo format, assessing their compatibility with NPS project needs and detailing their strengths and weaknesses. An extensive literature review was then conducted, focusing on the utilization of crowd-sourced data for estimating trail traffic volume. The review examined data sources, such as Strava, StreetLight, imagery data, bikeshare data, and local fitness tracking apps. These sources were evaluated based on the experiences reported in prior studies to explore their potential for enhancing pedestrian and bicyclist volume estimation.

The review found that while these data sources offer valuable insights into active transportation patterns, they must be used with caution due to potential biases and limitations inherent to each. Furthermore, the review underscored the importance of integrating counter data with crowd-sourced data to ensure maximum reliability and accuracy in traffic volume estimation. See Table 3 for Data Source details.

#### Table 2 Overview of Data Sources

Data Source	Strengths	Weaknesses	Potential integration with count data
Fitness-Tracking Apps (e.g., Strava)	<ul> <li>Enriched spatial and temporal attributes</li> <li>Abundance of GPS traces and data</li> </ul>	- Biased towards certain demographics - Limited demographic info	Highly beneficial in enhancing volume estimation models when fused with count data; significant potential to reduce errors and improve precision.
Regional Apps (e.g., CycleTrack)	- Attributes about Individual trips -GPS traces and data	-Small sample size - Biased towards certain demographics	Could bring rich potentials to fill out the gap of detailed trip attributes by fusing with other sources like Strava
lmagery Data (e.g., GSV, POI)	- Captures street- level activity - Vast collection of panoramic images	- Requires manual annotation - Limited coverage in rural or not paved trails	Advanced algorithms can automate annotation and improve fusion with count data; promising for detailed route and facility-level estimations.
Multi-app LBS (e.g., StreetLight)	- Large-scale data collection - High locational precision	<ul> <li>Inaccuracies during dynamic activities</li> <li>Limited detailed individual information</li> </ul>	Integration with count and Strava data improves accuracy, estimation did not perform well without integration.
Bike Share Systems (BSSs)	- Origin-Destination data - Trip data with detailed patterns	<ul> <li>Lack of detailed route trajectories</li> <li>Limited data availability in some systems</li> </ul>	Enhances station-specific volume estimations; effective when fused with count data to validate trends; potential to improve route-level estimation models.

# **APPENDIX**

#### **Data Summary**

Summary Metrics

Several Summary metrics are used: MADT, AADT, and WWI as defined below.

Monthly Average Daily Traffic (MADT): For each day of the week for each month in each year for each segment area for each mode, compute MADT (from FHWA-PL-015-008, 201)

$$MADTm, y = \frac{1}{7} \sum_{j=7}^{1} \left[ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} Vijmy \right]$$

where V = total traffic volume for *i*<sup>th</sup> occurrence of the *j*<sup>th</sup> day of the week within the  $m^{th}$  month, for year y.

n = the count of the  $j^{th}$  day of the week during the  $m^{th}$  month for which traffic volume is available (a number between 1 and 5)

Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT):

AADTy = 
$$\frac{1}{12} \sum_{m=1}^{12} MADT_{m,y}$$

Where *m* is the month of the year, *y* 

Finally, the Weekend/Weekday Index was calculated by average the total Weekend volume and the total Weekday volume and dividing the Weekend average by the Weekday average.

Weekend-Weekday Index (WWI) (Miranda-Moreno et al. 2013)

$$WWI = V_{we}/V_{wd}$$

where:

*WWI* = Weekend/Weekday Index

 $V_{we}$ =average weekend daily traffic

Vwd=average weekday daily traffic

#### Trail Volumes

Table 4 summarizes pedestrian and bicycle volumes by trail. This is followed by three maps showing the trail volumes for 2022 by mode: pedestrian-only, bicycle-only, and combined pedestrian-bicycle traffic, which includes sites were pedestrians are not distinguished from bicyclists and those where pedestrians and bicyclists are counted separately but are added together for inclusion in the map.

The blanks in the AADT columns demonstrate the need for more consistent data collection in order to track change over time. It also shows that all trail sites have higher weekend traffic than weekday traffic (WWI>1) which is associated with recreational travel as expected on these trails. *WWI is calculated for 2021 but can be updated for 2022 soon.* 

The trail with the highest volume (bike and pedestrians combined) is the Georgetown Mule Bridge, which has been validated and adjusted although not all sites have been.

This table includes a column for 2022 adjusted volume. This value is the value in the AADT 2022 column multiplied by the adjustment factor listed in Table 2, as computed from the validation conducted by Viriginia Tech. Not all counters have been validated yet, so not all counters show the adjusted values. We aim to eventually only use adjusted values in order to account for known bias of particular counters.

#### Table 3 Summary of Traffic Volume for Trails

Trail	Count Site	Mode	2018 AADT	2019 AADT	2020 AADT	2021 AADT	2022 AADT	2022 Adjusted AADT	WWI 2021
C&O Canal	Dickerson Conservation Park	Both			128	76	34		
Trail	Marsden Tract Foot Bridge	Both			290	213			3.1
	Lock 10	Both				215			2.2
	Lock 8	Both				259	208	275	1.6
	Glen Echo	Both			297	202			1.8
	Lock 7	Both				123			2.1
	Lock 6	Both	151	150	289				
	Lock 5	Both			298		242	152	
	Chain Bridge Access	Both			786	297			2.0
Capital	Capital Crescent	Both	432	320		729	569		2.1
Crescent	Georgetown Mule Bridge	Both			1,794	1,645	1,423	1,395	2.1
Trail	Georgetown Level 3 Access (VC)	Both				343	482		1.8
Anacostia	Benning	Bike		255					2.6
River Trail	Benning	Ped		87					1.8
	Benning	Both		342					2.3
	Deane Ave	Bike		188		336	242	249	2.4
	Deane Ave	Ped		73		99	88	55	1.6
	Deane Ave	Both		261		435	330	304	2.2
	Kenilworth Park	Bike		232		398			2.4
	River Terrace	Bike		180		329	252	234	2.2
	River Terrace	Ped		85		177			1.6
	River Terrace	Both		265		506			1.6
Mount	MVT Airport	Bike	1,459	1,872	1,737	1,238			1.6
Vernon	MVT Airport	Ped		332	508	387			1.8
Trail	MVT Airport	Both		2,204	2,245	1,625			1.7
	CC Connector	Ped	493	576	526	425			1.3
	CC Connector	Both	1,003	1,088	973	809			1.3
	14th Street Bridge	Bike		1,487	1,133	956	1,042		1.4
	14th Street Bridge	Ped		339	249	245	213		2.0
_	14th Street Bridge	Both		1,826	1,382	1,201	1,255		1.5
Rock Creek	Peirce Mill	Bike					363	327	
Trail and	Peirce Mill	Ped					842	817	
Vicinity	Peirce Mill	Both					1,205	1,144	
	Piney Branch Trail	Bike							
	Piney Branch Trail	Ped							
	Piney Branch Trail	Both							

Trail	Count Site	Mode	2018 AADT	2019 AADT	2020 AADT	2021 AADT	2022 AADT	2022 Adjusted AADT	WWI 2021
	Rose Park @ P Street	Bike					262	246*	
	Shoreham Drive	Bike							
	Shoreham Drive	Ped							
	Shoreham Drive	Both							
Washington	Bon Air Park	Bike	693	689	717	620	575		
& Old	Bon Air Park	Ped					504		
Dominion Trail	Bon Air Park	Both					1,079		
mun	Columbia Pike	Bike					706		
	Columbia Pike	Ped					646		
	Columbia Pike	Both					1,352		
	<200 AADT	*P Stree	t bike cou	nter was re	e-validated	l with an a	djustmen	t factor of 0.9	4.
	200-600 AADT								
	>600 AADT								



# Pedestrian Counter Map



**Bike Counter Map** 



# **Bike/Pedestrian Counter Map**

#### Volume Graphs by Month by Trail by Travel Mode

In this section we provide graphs of average trail traffic for 2022 by trail and mode by month as a percent of AADT. The purpose of these graphs was to determine the seasonality of the travel. They show that traffic is highest in summer, but relatively high throughout the non-winter months (March through October). Plots for other years were also created but are not shown because they were not substantially different from those shown below. Generally pedestrian travel tends to be less seasonal (more equal throughout the year) than bicycle travel as can be seen in the ART, Rock Creek Trail, Washington & Old Dominion Trail, and MVT.



## Anacostia River Trail – Bike

## Anacostia River Trail – Pedestrian





# Capital Crescent Trail – Bike/Pedestrian





# Mount Vernon Trail – Pedestrian





# **Rock Creek Trail – Pedestrian**







# Washington & Old Dominion Trail – Pedestrian



# Inventory

Table 5 summarizes 153 counters in the National Capital Region, most of which are permanently installed. This is based on the inventory provided by Volpe, and updated with newly installed counters and a column to indicate if the counter has been loaded into BikePed Portal. It includes 13 retired counters indicated with an asterisk next to the counter name.

	Model			Direction	Separate Bike and Ped	Loaded into BikePed
Owner Agency	Company	Facility Name	Counter Name	Capable	Detection	Portal?
Alexandria	Eco- Counter	Beauregard Trail	Beauregard Trail	Yes	Yes	
Alexandria	Eco- Counter	Eisenhower Trail	Eisenhower Trail	Yes	Yes	
Alexandria	Eco- Counter	Four Mile Trail	Four Mile Trail	Yes	Yes	
Alexandria	Eco- Counter	Holmes Run Trail	Holmes Run Trail	Yes	Yes	
Alexandria	Eco- Counter	Metro Linear Trail	Metro Linear Trail	Yes	Yes	
Alexandria	Eco- Counter	MVT	Mount Vernon Trail #1	Yes	Yes	Yes
Alexandria	Eco- Counter	MVT	Mount Vernon Trail #2	Yes	Yes	
Alexandria	Eco- Counter	MVT	Mount Vernon Trail #3	Yes	Yes	Yes
Alexandria	Eco- Counter	Old Cameron Run Trail	Old Cameron Run Trail	Yes	Yes	
Alexandria	Eco- Counter	Onroad	Commonwealth at Mount Vernon	Yes	No - bikes only	
Alexandria	Eco- Counter	Potomac Yard Trail	Potomac Yard Trail #1	Yes	Yes	
Arlington	Eco- Counter	Arlington Memorial Bridge	Pyro 11 (Memorial Circle/Arlington Memorial Bridge Sidewalk Ped in Bike Ped Portal) *	Yes	No	Yes
Arlington	Eco- Counter	Arlington Memorial Bridge	Memorial Bridge South	Yes	Yes	
Arlington	Eco- Counter	Arlington Memorial Bridge	Memorial Bridge North	Yes	Yes	
Arlington	Eco- Counter	Four Mile Run Trail	Four Mile Run Trail	Yes	Yes	Yes

Table 1 Inventory of	Dedectrian and	d Riavala Cau	ntors in the Nat	ional Capital Region
	reuesinan and	I DICYCIE COU	111EIS III 111E IVAL	

	Model			Direction	Separate Bike and Ped	Loaded into BikePed
Owner Agency	Company	Facility Name	Counter Name	Capable	Detection	Portal?
Arlington	Eco- Counter	Bluemont Junction Trail	Bluemont Connector	Yes	Yes	Yes
Arlington	Eco- Counter	Custis Trail	Custis Bon Air	Yes	Yes	Yes
Arlington	Eco- Counter	Custis Trail	Ballston Connector	Yes	Yes	Yes
Arlington	Eco- Counter	Custis Trail	Custis Rosslyn	Yes	Yes	Yes
Arlington	Eco- Counter	Custis Trail	Custis Trail (Ballston Beaver Pond) *	Yes	Yes	Yes
Arlington	Eco- Counter	Four Mile run	Four Mile Run - piezo*	Yes	No - bikes only	Yes
Arlington	Eco- Counter	Four Mile run	Pyro 04 - Four Mile Run*	Yes	No	Yes
Arlington	Eco- Counter	Joyce Street	Joyce SB	Yes	Yes	Yes
Arlington	Eco- Counter	Joyce Street	Joyce NB	Yes	Yes	Yes
Arlington	Eco- Counter	MVT	MVT Airport	Yes	Yes	Yes
Arlington	Eco- Counter	MVT	CC Connector	Yes	Yes	Yes
Arlington	Eco- Counter	MVT	14th Street Bridge	Yes	Yes	Yes
Arlington	Eco- Counter	MVT	Roosevelt Bridge	Yes	Yes	Yes
Arlington	Eco- Counter	MVT	TR Island	Yes	Yes	Yes
Arlington	Eco- Counter	MVT	Bikeometer	Yes	No - bikes only	Yes
Arlington	Eco- Counter	MVT	Key Bridge East	Yes	Yes	Yes
Arlington	Eco- Counter	MVT	Key Bridge West	Yes	Yes	Yes
Arlington	Eco- Counter	MVT	Mt. Vernon Trail (Lee Hwy & N Lynn St.) *	Yes	Yes	Yes
Arlington	Eco- Counter	Onroad	Crystal NB	No	No - bikes only	Yes
Arlington	Eco- Counter	Onroad	Eads NB	No	No - bikes only	
Arlington	Eco- Counter	Onroad	Eads SB	No	No - bikes only	

	Model			Direction	Separate Bike and Ped	Loaded into BikePed
Owner Agency	Company	Facility Name	Counter Name	Capable	Detection	Portal?
Arlington	Eco- Counter	Onroad	Fairfax EB	No	No - bikes only	Yes
Arlington	Eco- Counter	Onroad	Fairfax WB	No	No - bikes only	Yes
Arlington	Eco- Counter	Onroad	Quincy SB	No	No - bikes only	Yes
Arlington	Eco- Counter	Onroad	Quincy NB	No	No - bikes only	Yes
Arlington	Eco- Counter	Onroad	Clarendon EB	No	No - bikes only	Yes
Arlington	Eco- Counter	Onroad	Wilson WB	No	No - bikes only	Yes
Arlington	Eco- Counter	Onroad	Military NB	No	No - bikes only	Yes
Arlington	Eco- Counter	Onroad	Military SB	No	No - bikes only	Yes
Arlington	Eco- Counter	Arlington National Cemetery by Rt 110	110 Trail (Medgar Evers Bike Trail)	Yes	Yes	Yes
Arlington	Eco- Counter	W&OD	W&OD Bon Air East	Yes	Yes	Yes
Arlington	Eco- Counter	W&OD	W&OD Bon Air West	Yes	Yes	Yes
Arlington	Eco- Counter	W&OD	W&OD Columbia Pike	Yes	Yes	Yes
Arlington	Eco- Counter	W&OD	W&OD East Falls Church	Yes	Yes	Yes
Arlington	Eco- Counter	Washington Boulevard Trail	Washington Boulevard Trail	Yes	Yes	
Arlington	Eco- Counter	Arlington Boulevard Trail	Arlington Boulevard Trail*			Yes
Arlington	Eco- Counter		Sidewalk on Campbell Avenue (outside Robeks) *			Yes
Arlington	Eco- Counter		Sidewalk on N Nash Street (Arlington Temple) *			Yes
Arlington	Eco- Counter		Trail along N Rhodes Street*			Yes
Arlington	Eco- Counter		W&OD (near Fire Station 6) *	Yes	Yes	Yes

Owner Agency	Model Company	Facility Name	Counter Name	Direction Capable	Separate Bike and Ped Detection	Loaded into BikePed Portal?
DDOT	Eco-	ART	Anacostia River Walk	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Counter	ART	Trail 11th Street	res	res	res
DDOT	Eco- Counter	ART	Anacostia River Walk Trail River Terrace	Yes	Yes	Yes
DDOT	Eco- Counter	ART	Anacostia River Walk Trail Benning	Yes	Yes	Yes
DDOT	Eco- Counter	ART	Anacostia River Walk Trail Deane Ave	Yes	Yes	Yes
DDOT	Eco- Counter	ART	Anacostia River Walk Trail Kenilworth Park	Yes	Yes	Yes
DDOT	Eco- Counter	МВТ	Met Branch Trail	Yes	Yes	Yes
DDOT	Eco- Counter	Onroad	Wharf Classic - Maine Ave Cycle Track			Yes
DDOT	Eco- Counter	Onroad	Eye St SW bikelane*			Yes
DDOT	Eco- Counter	Onroad	East Capitol Street			Yes
DDOT	Eco- Counter	Onroad	1st St NE			Yes
DDOT	Eco- Counter	Onroad	Kaiser Permanente Center for Total Health*			Yes
DDOT	Eco- Counter	Onroad	11th St NW			Yes
DDOT	Eco- Counter	Onroad	15th St NW Cycletrack*			Yes
DDOT	Eco- Counter	Onroad	R Street NW			Yes
DDOT	Eco- Counter	Onroad	Columbia Rd NW			Yes
DDOT	Eco- Counter	Onroad	14th St NW			Yes
DDOT	Eco- Counter	ROCR	Rose Park Trail @ P Street NW	Yes	Yes	Yes
DDOT	Eco- Counter	ROCR	Rock Creek Trail @ Shoreham Drive	Yes	Yes	Yes
DDOT	Eco- Counter	ROCR	Zoo Loop Trail	Yes	Yes	Yes
DDOT	Eco- Counter	ROCR	Piney Branch Trail	Yes	Yes	Yes

	Model			Direction	Separate Bike and Ped	Loaded into BikePed
Owner Agency	Company	Facility Name	Counter Name	Capable	Detection	Portal?
DDOT	Eco- Counter	ROCR	Rock Creek Trail @ Peirce Mill	Yes	Yes	Yes
DDOT	Eco- Counter	MGT	Marvin Gaye Trail - 48th Pl (also called MG at 44th St)	Yes	Yes	
DDOT	Eco- Counter	MGT	Marvin Gaye Trail - 60th St	Yes	Yes	
DDOT	Eco- Counter	ORP	Oxon Run Park East Bank	Yes	Yes	
DDOT	Eco- Counter	ORP	Oxon Run Park West Bank	Yes	Yes	
GBID	Eco- Counter	Onroad	1325 Wisconsin			
GBID	Eco- Counter	Onroad	1629 Wisc (Book Hill)			
GBID	Eco- Counter	Onroad	2929 M St			
Montgomery	Eco- Counter	ССТ	CCT #1 @ Bethesda	Yes	Yes	
Montgomery	Eco- Counter	ССТ	CCT #1 @ Bethesda	Yes	Yes	
Montgomery	Eco- Counter	ССТ	CCT #2 @ Dalecarlia	Yes	Yes	
Montgomery	TRAFx	ССТ	CCT & Little Falls N	No	No	
Montgomery	TRAFx	ССТ	CCT & Little Falls S	No	No	
Montgomery	TRAFx	ССТ	CCT @ Loughboro Mill	No	No	
Montgomery	TRAFx	Little Falls Parkway	LFPkwy NB Mass Av			
Montgomery	TRAFx	Little Falls Parkway	LFPkwy SB Mass Av			
Montgomery	TRAFx	Little Falls Parkway	Little Falls Pkwy N	No	No	
Montgomery	TRAFx	Little Falls Parkway	Little Falls Pkwy S	No	No	
Montgomery	Eco- Counter	Matthew Henson Trail	Matthew Henson Trail 1@ Layhill	Yes	Yes	
Montgomery	Eco- Counter	Matthew Henson Trail	Matthew Henson Trail 2 @ Winding Creek	Yes	Yes	

	Model		Counter Norro	Direction	Separate Bike and Ped	Loaded into BikePed
Owner Agency	<b>Company</b>	Facility Name	Counter Name	Capable	Detection	Portal?
Montgomery	TRAFx	Onroad	BH RP Contact Station	No	No	
Montgomery	TRAFx	Powerline Trail	Powerline @ Colton	No	No	
Montgomery	TRAFx	Powerline Trail	Powerline @ 118	No	No	
Montgomery	TRAFx	ROCR	Beach Dr @ Knowles	No	No	
Montgomery	TRAFx	ROCR	Beach Dr @ Wildwood	No	No	
Montgomery	Eco- Counter	ROCR	Rock Creek Trail @ Baltimore	Yes	Yes	
Montgomery	Eco- Counter	ROCR	Rock Creek Trail @ Wildwood	Yes	Yes	
Montgomery	TRAFx	ROCR	Rock Creek Trail @ Wildwood	No	No	
Montgomery	TRAFx	Sligo Creek Parkway	Sligo Creek Pkwy @ Dennis	No	No	
Montgomery	TRAFx	Sligo Creek Parkway	Sligo Creek Pkwy @ Kennebec	No	No	
Montgomery	TRAFx	Sligo Creek Parkway	Sligo Creek Pkwy @ Piney Branch S	No	No	
Montgomery	TRAFx	Sligo Creek Trail	Sligo Creek Trail @ Dennis	No	No	
Montgomery	TRAFx	Sligo Creek Trail	Sligo Creek Trail @ Kennebec	No	No	
Montgomery	TRAFx	Sligo Creek Trail	Sligo Creek Trail @ Piney Branch S	No	No	
Montgomery	TRAFx	Ten Mile Creek Trail	Ten Mile Creek Trail @ 121	No	No	
Montgomery	TRAFx	Ten Mile Creek Trail	Ten Mile Creek Trail @ Old West Baltimore	No	No	
Montgomery	TRAFx		NW Branch South of Lamberton	No	No	
Montgomery	TRAFx		RC Greenway South of Springbrook	No	No	
NPS	Eco- Counter	MVT	MVT at Waynewood Blvd. (Bridge 12)	Yes	Yes	Yes
NPS CHOH	TRAFx	C&O Canal Trail	Falls Road Spur	No	No	Yes

	Model			Direction	Separate Bike and Ped	Loaded into BikePed
Owner Agency	<b>Company</b>	Facility Name	Counter Name	Capable	Detection	Portal?
NPS CHOH	TRAFx	C&O Canal Trail	Chain Bridge Access	No	No	Yes
NPS CHOH	TRAFx	C&O Canal Trail	Lock 5	No	No	Yes
NPS CHOH	TRAFx	C&O Canal Trail	Lock 6	No	No	Yes
NPS CHOH	TRAFx	C&O Canal Trail	Lock 7	No	No	Yes
NPS CHOH	TRAFx	C&O Canal Trail	Glen Echo	No	No	Yes
NPS CHOH	TRAFx	C&O Canal Trail	Lock 8	No	No	Yes
NPS CHOH	TRAFx	C&O Canal Trail	Lock 10	No	No	Yes
NPS CHOH	TRAFx	C&O Canal Trail	Marsden Tract Foot Bridge	No	No	Yes
NPS CHOH	TRAFx	C&O Canal Trail	Anglers Inn	No	No	Yes
NPS CHOH	TRAFx	C&O Canal Trail	Berma Road	No	No	Yes
NPS CHOH	TRAFx	C&O Canal Trail	Swains Lock	No	No	Yes
NPS CHOH	TRAFx	C&O Canal Trail	Pennyfield	No	No	Yes
NPS CHOH	TRAFx	C&O Canal Trail	Violettes Lock	No	No	Yes
NPS CHOH	TRAFx	C&O Canal Trail	Sycamore Landing access	No	No	Yes
NPS CHOH	TRAFx	C&O Canal Trail	Whites Ferry	No	No	Yes
NPS CHOH	TRAFx	C&O Canal Trail	Dickerson / Warm Water access	No	No	Yes
NPS CHOH	TRAFx	C&O Canal Trail	Lock 31 access	No	No	
NPS CHOH	TRAFx	C&O Canal Trail	Lock 33	No	No	
NPS CHOH	TRAFx	C&O Canal Trail	Lock 38 Lower	No	No	Yes
NPS CHOH	TRAFx	C&O Canal Trail	Lock 38 Upper	No	No	Yes
NPS CHOH	TRAFx	C&O Canal Trail	McMahon's Mill access	No	No	Yes
NPS CHOH	TRAFx	C&O Canal Trail	Fort Frederick access	No	No	
NPS CHOH	TRAFx	C&O Canal Trail	Cumberland Terminal	No	No	Yes
NPS CHOH	TRAFx	C&O Canal Trail	Cohill Station	No	No	
NPS CHOH	TRAFx	C&O Canal Trail	Little Pool access	No	No	
NPS CHOH	TRAFx	C&O Canal Trail	Billy Goat Trail A - Upstream Entrance	No	No	Yes
NPS CHOH	TRAFx	C&O Canal Trail	Billy Goat Trail C	No	No	Yes
NPS CHOH	TRAFx	C&O Canal Trail	Weaverton	No	No	Yes

Owner Agency	Model Company	Facility Name	Counter Name	Direction Capable	Separate Bike and Ped Detection	Loaded into BikePed Portal?
NPS CHOH	TRAFx	ССТ	Georgetown VC (Level 3 access)	No	No	Yes
NPS CHOH	TRAFx	ССТ	Georgetown Mule Bridge (MM-1)	No	No	Yes
NPS CHOH	TRAFx	ССТ	31st St Georgetown at C&O Trail	No	No	
NPS CHOH	TRAFx	ССТ	Potomac St Georgetown at C&O Trail	No	No	
NPS CHOH	TRAFx	ССТ	Capital Crescent	No	No	Yes
NPS CHOH	TRAFx	ССТ	Whites Ferry Towpath	No	No	
Prince George's	Eco- Counter	ART	ART, Bladensburg Waterfront Park	Yes		
Prince George's	Eco- Counter	ART	ART, Route 1	Yes		
Prince George's	Eco- Counter	ART	ART, Cottage City	Yes		
Prince George's	Eco- Counter	NW Branch Trail	Riverfront West Hyattsville	Yes		

\* indicates counter has been retired.

# References

Miranda-Moreno, L., Nosal, T., Schneider, R., & Proulx, F. (2013). Classification of Bicycle Traffic Patterns in Five North American Cities. *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board*, 2339, 68-79. https://doi.org/doi:10.3141/2339-08